because of copywright concerns, but wanted to make sure you all had seen this. Anne

----Original Message-----

From: John Rebers [mailto:jrebers@nmu.edu]

Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2001 5:37 PM

To: Anne Woiwode

Subject: Drugs and bugs

Anne - the article below appeared in Nature, May 31 2001, vol 411 p. 513.

They're cautious about the conclusions, but of interest for the CAFO stuff.

John Rebers

Nature 411, 513 (2001) © Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

Gene tests lift lid on drug-resistance puzzle

## JONATHAN KNIGHT

[ORLANDO] Is the use of antibiotics in farm animals causing the spread of

antibiotic-resistance

genes to human pathogens? The jury is still out, say experts who gathered

last week at the

annual meeting of the American Society for Microbiology in Orlando,

Florida. But this

long-standing controversy could soon be resolved, thanks to the development

of molecular tools

to help recognize resistance genes that originated on the farm.

Infections that resist treatment with antibiotics are a growing problem.

Most researchers agree

that over-prescription of antibiotics by doctors is the main cause.

But antibiotics are also routinely added to the feed of farm animals as

minor infections can stunt

their growth. This is promoting the emergence of antibiotic

resistance among bacteria that

inhabit or infect livestock. And such bacteria have been shown to

some cases of human

food poisoning.

More worrying, however, is the possibility that farmyard antibiotic-resistance genes could be transferred to the bacteria that inhabit our bodies, creating an insidious reservoir of drug resistance. But it has proved difficult to demonstrate whether or not such gene transfer is occurring.

"It's hard to get agreement on the extent of the problem," says Walter Hill, an official with the US Department of Agriculture's Food Safety and Inspection Service.

Among those investigating the connection between farm antibiotics and human health is David Wagner, an animal scientist at the Food and Drug Administration's Center for Veterinary Medicine in Laurel, Maryland. He collected microorganisms from beef and poultry at local supermarkets, and found that two-thirds of one species of gut bacterium, Enterococcus faecium, sampled from chicken, were resistant to Synercid. This antibiotic has only been on the market for two years, and is used as a last resort to treat infections that resist the more commonly used vancomycin.

Although Synercid is not used in agriculture, its close cousin virginiamycin has been given to cattle, pigs and poultry for more than 20 years in the United States and Europe. Genes for virginiamycin resistance also confer resistance to Synercid, and the possibility that they can be transferred to human pathogens is a serious concern. "This is not good news," says Wagner of his findings.

Synercid treatment currently fails in only a tiny proportion of cases. But
Stuart Levy of Tufts
University in Boston, whose work on antibiotic resistance helped to open the field, advises caution. If resistance genes do transfer from livestock bacteria to those infecting humans, they could spread rapidly if Synercid becomes more widely used.

Other researchers are now using molecular techniques that can rapidly

recognize specific

mutations involved in antibiotic resistance. Roustam Aminov of the University of Illinois at

Urbana-Champaign has developed tests, based on the polymerase chain reaction, that can

identify eight different classes of gene that confer resistance to tetracycline. Bacteria from cows

and pigs carry different characteristic combinations of resistance genes,

and Aminov has used

his tests to track the transfer of tetracycline-resistance genes from pig

farms in Illinois to soil bacteria.

Mark Maiden, a geneticist at the Forsyth Institute in Boston, Massachusetts, has used similar tests and found matching tetracycline-resistance genes in human mouth

bacteria and in bacteria

from animal intestines. "You've got identical sequences turning up in quite

different species," he

says. "That's highly symptomatic of horizontal gene transfer."

Although oral bacteria cause nothing worse than dental cavities, Maiden

says they could serve

as a reservoir of tetracycline-resistance genes that might transfer to

opportunistic bacteria that

cause pneumonia or postoperative infections, making these more difficult to treat.

Maiden says he cannot prove that the genes did transfer from the animals'

bacteria to the human

oral bacteria — in theory, it could have been the other way around. But

microbiologists are

optimistic that the application of such molecular methods by more researchers will soon reveal

the extent to which the transfer of farmyard resistance genes to human

pathogens is occurring.

"We've needed this for many years," says Levy.